## **Public Document Pack**

## **Meeting Supplement**

**Windsor and Ascot Development Management Committee** 

Councillors Amy Tisi (Chair), Mark Wilson (Vice-Chair), David Buckley, Alison Carpenter, Carole Da Costa, Devon Davies, Sayonara Luxton, Julian Sharpe and Julian Tisi

Thursday 7 March 2024 7.00 pm
Grey Room - York House - Windsor & on RBWM YouTube



The following papers have been added to the meeting's agenda as they were not available for publication when the notice of meeting was issued.

### **Supplement**

Item	Description	Page
	23/01062/FULL - Ditton Manor Ditton Park Road Datchet Slough SL3 7JB	
4	PROPOSAL: Hotel-led development comprising the conversion, extension and alteration of the existing Manor House and associated buildings, including the North Gatehouse, East Gatehouse, South Gatehouse, Chapel and Granary, to a flexible hotel and wedding/conference venue (Use Class C1 and Sui Generis) with associated ancillary facilities including bar, restaurant and gym/spa; additional two storey hotel accommodation block (Use Class C1); erection of a marquee for wedding/conference use (Sui Generis); demolition and erection of a new one storey community building (Use Class F2); car parking; landscaping; and other associated works.	3 - 6
	RECOMMENDATION: Refuse	
	APPLICANT: Ditton Park Property Unit Trust	
	MEMBER CALL-IN: N/A	
	EXPIRY DATE: 8 March 2024	

By attending this meeting, participants are consenting to the audio & visual recording being permitted and acknowledge that this shall remain accessible in the public domain permanently.

Please contact Mikey Lloyd, Mikey.Lloyd@RBWM.gov.uk, with any special requests that you may have when attending this meeting.





# Agenda Item 4

## ROYAL BOROUGH OF WINDSOR AND MAIDENHEAD **PANEL UPDATE**

**Application** 

23/01062/FULL

No.:

Location: **Ditton Manor** 

**Ditton Park Road** 

Datchet Slough SL3 7JB

Proposal:

Hotel-led development comprising the conversion, extension and alteration of the

existing Manor House and associated buildings, including the North Gatehouse, East

Gatehouse, South Gatehouse, Chapel and Granary, to a flexible hotel and

wedding/conference venue (Use Class C1 and Sui Generis) with associated ancillary

facilities including bar, restaurant and gym/spa; additional two storey hotel

accommodation block (Use Class C1); erection of a marquee for wedding/conference use (Sui Generis); demolition and erection of a new one storey community building

(Use Class F2); car parking; landscaping; and other associated works.

Applicant: Ditton Park Property Unit Trust

Agent: Mr Harry Spawton

Parish/Ward: Datchet Parish/Datchet Horton And Wraysbury

If you have a question about this report, please contact: Sarah Chesshyre on or at sarah.chesshyre@rbwm.gov.uk

#### 1. SUMMARY

- 1.1 Following the publication of the main Committee report, representations objecting to the proposals have been received from three additional local residents. Further representations have also been received from a resident who previously commented on the application. The representations raise issues relating to noise and amenity, traffic, concerns regarding crime and anti-social behaviour, and access for residents to the parkland. These issues were all raised in previous comments received on the application, which are summarised and addressed in the Committee report.
- 1.2 A guery was received from a resident regarding consultation with Historic England. In the summary of consultation responses in paragraph 8.4 of the Committee report, it states that Historic England are not required to be consulted on the application. Historic England are statutory advisors on the historic environment, on behalf of DCMS. The statutory requirement to notify/consult Historic England on planning applications and heritage consents is set out under the 'Arrangements for handling heritage applications - Notification to Historic England and National Amenity Societies and the Secretary of State (England) Direction 2021'. There is no requirement to consult Historic England on the application, and therefore they advised that they would not provide comments on the application.
- 1.3 The Council has received the following information from the applicant:
  - Confirmatory Site Visit Report prepared by Tyler Grange dated 28th February 2024
- 1.4 The report responds to issues raised in paragraphs 9.128-9.130 of the Committee report regarding the age and validity of the ecological surveys. The site visit report provides confirmation from the applicant's ecologist that an update site visit was undertaken and that the findings of the initial ecological surveys remain valid. The Council's Ecologist has reviewed the report and confirmed

that for the purposes of assessing the application the surveys remain valid at this time.

- 1.5 In light of the above additional information, the proposals would not have any unacceptable ecological impacts subject to conditions securing a Construction Environmental Management Plan for Biodiversity, a Biodiversity Net Gain Plan, details of lighting, an invasive species method statement, and details of ecological enhancements. Therefore, the eighth reason for refusal should be omitted from the recommendation.
- 1.6 In addition to the above Confirmatory Site Visit Report, additional information from the applicant was submitted. This information was unsolicited. Officers have reviewed the information and it does not add any new material to that which has already been formally submitted, assessed, and consulted upon and therefore does not require further review or consultation.

The eighth reason for refusal should be omitted from the recommendation. The overall recommendation to refuse the planning application for the remaining eight identified reasons (reasons 1-7 and 9 of the main committee report) remains unchanged.

